# REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

## FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF

TM 5362RPL, Log No. 04-08-010; Homeland Estates

## **December 14, 2005**

I. HABITAT LOSS PERMIT ORDINANCE – Does the proposed project conform to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings?							
	YES	NO	NOT APP ∑	LICABLE/EXEMPT			
Discussion:							
boundaries of the of any off-site im	e Multiple Sp provements Sage Scrub C	ecies Conserv do not contain Ordinance. The	ation Program habitats subjectefore, confo	located outside of the n, the project site and locations ect to the Habitat Loss mance to the Habitat Loss uired.			
II. MSCP/BMO - Does the proposed project conform to the Multiple Species Conservation Program and Biological Mitigation Ordinance?							
,	YES	NO I	NOT APPLICA	ABLE/EXEMPT			
Discussion:							
The proposed project and any off-site improvements related to the proposed project are located outside of the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. Therefore, conformance with the Multiple Species Conservation Program and the Biological Mitigation Ordinance is not required.							
III. GROUNDWATER ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with the requirements of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance?							
	YES	NO	NOT APP	LICABLE/EXEMPT			
Discussion:							

The project will obtain its water supply from the Rincon Del Diablo Municipal Water District and the City of Escondido, which obtain water from surface reservoirs and/or imported sources. The project will not use any groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.

## IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Article IV, Sections 1 & 2) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Article IV, Section 3) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ⊠
The <u>Steep Slope</u> section (Article IV, Section 5)?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Article IV, Section 6) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Article IV, Section 7) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

#### Discussion:

#### Wetland and Wetland Buffers:

The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year.

## Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:

The project is not located near any floodway or floodplain fringe area as defined in the Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO), nor is it near a watercourse plotted on any official County floodway or floodplain map.

## Steep Slopes:

For "Yes" answer.

The average slope for the property is less than 25 percent gradient. Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are no steep slopes on the property. The project is in conformance with the RPO.

#### Sensitive Habitats:

No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site as determined on a site visit conducted by Maggie Loy on April 13, 2004. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Article IV, Item 6 of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

### Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites:

Based on an analysis of records and two prior surveys of the property by archaeologists Clifford (1998) and Keller (1992), it has been determined that the property does not contain any archaeological/ historical sites. However, because the previous surveys were conducted over five years ago, and because of the presence of several prehistoric sites in the vicinity of the current project, including one site in the parcel directly to the north, the project will be conditioned to have archaeological monitors present during the grading portion of the proposed project to be on the lookout for subsurface cultural resources.

resources.							
V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO) - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?							
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE				
Discussion:							
	this project is	complete and	P) dated July 20, 2005 and pd in compliance with the Wat				
			ect comply with the County of E County of San Diego Noise				
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE				
Discussion:							

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

Transportation (traffic, railroad, aircraft) noise levels at the project site are not expected to exceed Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL)=60 decibels (dB) limit because review of the project indicates that the project is not in close proximity to a railroad and/or airport. Additionally, the County of San Diego GIS noise model does not indicate that the project would be subject to potential excessive noise levels from circulation element roads either now or at General Plan buildout.

Noise impacts to the proposed project from adjacent land uses are not expected to exceed the property line sound level limits of the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance.